

111 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-4410 Telephone: 312-443-0700 Fax: 312-443-0336 www.lockelord.com

Frank, Elliot L. Direct Telephone: 202.220.6976 **Direct Fax:**

EFrank@lockelord.com

Fax Cover Sheet

October 20, 2009

LLB&L

, To.,	Organization;	Fax Number:	Phone Number
Examiner Corrielus	USPTO, AU-2611	571-273-3020	571-272-3020

Total Pages (including coversheet): 4

If you do not receive all pages, please call 202.220.6976

Message:

Facsimile follow-up to telephone call

This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

Advise if questions...Elliot

Elliot Frank

LLB&L

Associate - IP Group - Washington DC Office

Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP

401 9th Street, N.W., Suite 400 South

Washington, D.C. 20004

202.220.6976 Direct

202,220,6900 Main

202.220.6945 Fax

efrank@lockelord.com

www.lockelord.com

Atlanta, Austin, Chicago, Dallas, Houston, London, Los Angeles, New Orleans, New York, Sacramento, San Francisco, Washington DC

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: United States Treasury Regulations provide that a taxpayer may rely only on formal written advice meeting specific requirements to avoid federal tax penalties. Any tax advice in the text of this message, or in any attachment, does not meet those requirements and, accordingly, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any recipient to avoid any penalties that may be imposed upon such recipient by the Internal Revenue Service.

IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL: This message from the law firm of Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to act on behalf of the intended recipient) of this message, you may not disclose, forward, distribute, copy, or use this message or its contents. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message from your e-mail system. Thank you.



401 9th Street NW, Suite 400 South Weshington, DC 20004 Telephone: 202-220-6900 Fax: 202-220-6945 www.lockelord.com

Elliot L. Frank Direct Telephone. 202-220-6976 Direct Fax. 202-220-6945 eform@bokelom.ovn

Fax Cover Sheet

LLB&L

October 20, 2009

To:	Organization:	Fax Number:	Phone Number:
Examiner CORRIELUS	USPTO, AU-2611	571-273-3020	571-272-3020

Total Pages (including coversheet): 2

If you do not receive all pages, please call 202-220-6976.

Subject: Follow-up to Telephone Conversation Regarding Application No. 10/572,806

Message: Dear Examiner Corrielus,

Thank you for the October 14, 2009 telephone conversation regarding Application No. 10/572,806. Below please find a summary of the questions posed during this discussion and the corresponding responses from Applicants:

- 1. Claim 1 was amended in the previous response to recite: "synchronizing the apparatus to the multi-carrier transmission bursts by finding the index of received symbols based on the pilot carrier position." The Examiner has asked where this limitation finds support in the drawing figures.
- A: This feature finds support in the drawing figures based on at least reference numbers 307, 308, 909, 910 and additionally 1003, taken in conjunction with the corresponding descriptions in the specification.
- 2. Claim 6 currently recites: "6. The method according to claim 4, wherein the certain predetermined other symbol is the fourth symbol received by the apparatus as determined beginning from the currently received symbol." The Examiner continues to inquire about the "third" symbol per the claim rejections of the previous office action.
- A: The "fourth" symbol is simply an indication of ordinal position as recited in the claim: "...is the fourth symbol received by the apparatus." Recitation of a third symbol is not relevant to the claimed invention (e.g., the third position is not considered a pilot symbol, but the fourth position would be a pilot symbol).
- 3. Claim 17 currently includes limitations reciting "means configured to..." The Examiner has requested that these limitations be changed to "means for...," which is asserted to be more in accordance with current U.S. practice.

A: Applicants will comply with the Examiner's request to change claim 17 to recite "means for" because the claim is intended to be in means-plus-function format.

This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

4. Claim 17 currently recites: "means configured to correlate in the apparatus carriers of the first symbol with the corresponding carriers of the second symbol within the matrix for determining a correlation maximum for indicating a pilot carrier position; and means configured to synchronize the apparatus to the multi-carrier transmission bursts by finding the index of received symbols based on the pilot carrier position." The Examiner has asked where both of these limitations find support in the drawing figures. In particular, the Examiner is looking for a combined means for "correlating" and "determining," and then a separate means for "synchronizing."

A: This feature finds support in the drawing figures based on at least reference numbers 903 and 909, 910 and 1003, taken in conjunction with the corresponding descriptions in the specification.

Applicants greatly appreciate the Examiner's efforts to expedite prosecution in this application, and encourage the Examiner to contact the undersigned in order to resolve any remaining issues or to ask further questions.

Best regards...

Elliot Frank

Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP

Our File Number: 1004289-266US (4208-4310)

This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.